

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRADLEY SANDEFUR,

Petitioner,

Case No. 3:21-cv-00125-ART-CSD

## ORDER

V.

FERNANDEIS FRAZIER, *et al.*,

## Respondents.

11        In this habeas corpus action, after a 90-day initial period (see ECF No. 35),  
12 and two 60-day extensions of time (ECF Nos. 37, 39), Petitioner Bradley Sandefur,  
13 represented by appointed counsel, was due to file an amended habeas petition  
14 by February 14, 2023. On February 13, 2023, Sandefur filed a motion for  
15 extension of time (ECF No. 40), requesting a further 62-day extension of time, to  
16 April 17, 2023. Sandefur’s counsel explains that the extension of time is  
17 necessary because of the time it is taking to investigate this case, because of his  
18 obligations in other cases, and because of his administrative duties. Sandefur’s  
19 counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the extension of time.

20 The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith  
21 and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the  
22 requested extension of time.

23 | //

24 | //

25 | //

26 | //

27 | //

28 | //

111

1 It is therefore ordered that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF  
2 No. 40) is granted. Petitioner will have until and including April 17, 2023, to file  
3 his amended habeas petition. In all other respects, the schedule for further  
4 proceedings set forth in the order entered July 18, 2022 (ECF No. 35) will remain  
5 in effect.

6 DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2023.

From Russell Pen

---

ANNE R. TRAUM  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE